

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,677	01/04/2002	Stephen Brian Falder	16644/09003CIP	9699
7590 05/18/2004			EXAMINER	
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
Keenan Buildin	g, Third Floor			
1330 Lady Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Columbia, SC 29201			1616	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>-</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/039,677	FALDER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alton N. Pryor	1616			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		orrespondence address			
Period fo						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 h	<u> March 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) [ 6) [ 7) [	Claim(s) 1,46-54,56,58,59,61,62,70,71,78,81-100,103 and 104 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,46-54,56,58,59,61,62,70,71,78,81-100,103,104 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
-	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmer	• •	o 🗆 o	(DTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/8/04		_ [ [ ]	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/039,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

I. Rejection of claims 61,78,86,87,92-98,101 under 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph will not be maintained. Applicant has replaced "benzenethaminium" by "benzenemethanaminium".

- II. Rejection of claims 1,46-54,56,58-62,70,71,80,83-85,88-90,92,95-97,100,103 under 35 USC 102(b) in view of Jackson (GB '171) on record will not be maintained for reason on record and reason as follows. The claims have been amended to define the second compound as silanes, soya lecithins, siloxanes, and mixtures thereof. Claims 79,80,103 have been cancelled.
- III. Rejection of claims 72-98 under 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph will not be maintained for the following reason. Applicant has amended claims to clarify the active step to include "applying the antimicrobial composition to a surface".

Applicant's arguments filed 3/1/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See argument below.

IV. Rejection of claims 1,46-54,56,58-62,70,71,78-103 under 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraphs will be maintained for reason on record and reason as follows.

Preventive language is not acceptable in claims.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim1,46-54,56,58,59,61,62,70,71,78,82-100,103,104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson on record in view of Sokol et al (CA 1087955; 10/21/80). Jackson teaches a bacteriologically disinfectant (cleaning agent) composition comprising 0.02-0.2% quaternary compounds such as cetylpyrdinium chloride (first compound - hydrophobic with polar nature), or alkyldimethylbenzylammonium (benzalkonium) chlorides (first compound - hydrophobic with polar nature), plus 10% monohydric alcohols such as isopropyl alcohol plus polyhydric alcohols such as polyethylene glycol (second compound - hydrophilic compound or C12-C20 surfactant) plus 0.01-0.15 % phenols such as 3-methyl-4chlorophenol (first antimicrobial agent). Jackson teaches a method of applying the disinfectant composition to surfaces for the purpose of killing bacteria. See abstract, page 4 lines 7-24, page 5 line 11 – page 6 line 5, claims 1-7. Jackson teaches all that is recited in claims except for the composition comprising 1 to 4% polyethylene glycol; a formulation comprising 0.5-2% of the instant antimicrobial composition; and the instant method of adding and mixing ingredients to manufacture the antimicrobial composition. Jackson also does not teach the instant composition comprising a polysiloxane. However, Sokol teaches an antibacterial composition comprising polysiloxane. Jackson teaches that the composition is applied to contaminated surfaces to control bacteria growth. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention taught by Jackson to include the polysiloxane taught by Sokol. One having

Application/Control Number: 10/039,677

Art Unit: 1616

ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to enhance the activity of the composition. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum amount of polyethylene glycol to be used in the antimicrobial composition and the optimum amount of antimicrobial composition to used in a formulation. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the most effective composition for disinfecting a surface. In a method of preparation the simple act of adding and mixing ingredients is well known and therefore unpatentable.

Page 4

#### **Election / Other Matters**

The elected invention comprising benzenethanaminium N-dodecyl-N,N-dimethylchloride is not allowable. See rejection above.

### Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 703 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Alton Pryoro Co